



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,991	05/26/2000	James L. Winkler	03848-00007	1933
28315	7590	12/02/2003	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR AFFYMETRIX 1001 G STREET, N.W. ELEVENTH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597			LUDLOW, JAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application N .

09/579,991

Applicant(s)

WINKLER ET AL.

Examiner

Jan M. Ludlow

Art Unit

1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/31/2003, 9/16/2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 48-152 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 100-152 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 48-99 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☒ Other: *Sequence error report*.

Art Unit: 1743

1. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). A computer readable form (CRF) of the sequence listing was submitted. However, the CRF could not be processed by the Scientific and Technical Information Center (STIC) for the reason(s) set forth on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report.
2. Applicant is given ONE MONTH, or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter within which to comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no case may an applicant extend the period for reply beyond the SIX MONTH statutory period. Direct the reply to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report with the reply.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

4. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1743

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

6. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
7. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
8. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
9. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 48-50, 54-57, 59, 60, 62-66, 68-76, 81, 83-92, 94, 97-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brennan (5474796).

Brennan 5474796 teaches patterning an array using a photoresist, forming an array of 10-10000 polymers per square centimeter using 50 picoliter to 2 microliter droplets to form spots 50-2000 microns in diameter (col. 2, lines 15-28, col. 6, lines 20-25) from a printer which may be formed as 64 nozzles per head with a common inlet (col. 7, lines 8-15). The parent application of Brennan supports this disclosure in that it discloses 50 micron spots separated by 6nm (p. 13). An array of 1,000,000 10-mers

Art Unit: 1743

can be produced per hour (col. 9, line 11). Polymers are attached via a linker (col. 7, line 31). Resist is removed (i.e., the substrate is cleaned) prior to dispensing)

12. Claims 51-53, 58, 61, 67, 77-80, 82, 93, 95-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brennan as applied above.

Brennan fails to teach particular materials, dispensers, and array characteristics.

It would have been obvious to use known resists for their known function. It would have been further obvious to use alternative known dispensers for their known function in order to dispense small fluid volumes as taught. It would have been obvious to space the print head from the surface and/or move the print head in order to use conventionally known printing techniques. It would have been obvious to optimize the drop size, spot size and array density in method of Brennan in order to optimize array formation.

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 48-99 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner acknowledges applicant's argument with respect to the effective filing date, and finds the argument persuasive for claims not requiring dispensing of droplets on the substrate to form the array.

14. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on July 31 2003 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (703) 308-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.



Jan M. Ludlow  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1743

Jml  
December 1, 2003

SEP 30 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2500

**RAW SEQUENCE LISTING**  
**ERROR REPORT**

The Biotechnology Systems Branch of the Scientific and Technical Information Center (STIC) detected errors when processing the following computer readable form:

Application Serial Number: 09/579,991  
Source: 1600  
Date Processed by STIC: 9-25-03

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2003

TC 1700

THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT EXPLAINS DETECTED ERRORS.

PLEASE FORWARD THIS INFORMATION TO THE APPLICANT BY EITHER:

- 1) INCLUDING A COPY OF THIS PRINTOUT IN YOUR NEXT COMMUNICATION TO THE APPLICANT, WITH A NOTICE TO COMPLY or,
- 2) TELEPHONING APPLICANT AND FAXING A COPY OF THIS PRINTOUT, WITH A NOTICE TO COMPLY

FOR CRF SUBMISSION AND PATENTIN SOFTWARE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT MARK SPENCER, 703-308-4212.

TO REDUCE ERRORED SEQUENCE LISTINGS, PLEASE USE THE CHECKER VERSION 4.0 PROGRAM, ACCESSIBLE THROUGH THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WEBSITE. SEE BELOW FOR ADDRESS:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/checker>

Applicants submitting genetic sequence information electronically on diskette or CD-Rom should be aware that there is a possibility that the disk/CD-Rom may have been affected by treatment given to all incoming mail.

Please consider using alternate methods of submission for the disk/CD-Rom or replacement disk/CD-Rom.

Any reply including a sequence listing in electronic form should NOT be sent to the 20231 zip code address for the United States Patent and Trademark Office, and instead should be sent via the following to the indicated addresses:

1. EFS-Bio (<<http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs/downloads/documents.htm>> , EFS Submission User Manual - ePAVE)
2. U.S. Postal Service: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
3. Hand Carry directly to:  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Technology Center 1600, Reception Area, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Examiner Name, Sequence Information, Crystal Mall One, 1911 South Clark Street, Arlington, VA 22202  
Or  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Box Sequence, Customer Window, Lobby, Room 1B03, Crystal Plaza Two, 2011 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA 22202
4. Federal Express, United Parcel Service, or other delivery service to: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Box Sequence, Room 1B03-Mailroom, Crystal Plaza Two, 2011 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA 22202

Revised 04/24/2003